


### Remarks

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-13 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 5,999,952 and rejected claims 1-13 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,029,183. In response, Applicants file herewith a terminal disclaimer. Accordingly, Applicants request that the Examiner withdraw the double patenting rejections.

Additionally, Applicants add new claim 14 to protect additional aspect related to the present invention. Claim 14 recites, *inter alia*, "wherein [a] core module lacks an independent power supply and is not functional as a computer when decoupled from [a] communications module." Applicants submit that this claim element in combination with the remaining elements recited in claim 14 distinguish claim 14 from the prior art of record.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Christopher M. Tucker  
Reg. No. 48,783  
12701 Fair Lakes Circle  
Suite 550  
Fairfax, VA 22033  
(703) 631-6925

Date Mailed: 5/17/05